Metabolic and hypoxic adaptation to anti-angiogenic therapy: a target for induced essentiality
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Abstract

Anti-angiogenic therapy has increased the progression-free survival of many cancer patients but has had little effect on overall survival, even in colon cancer (average 6–8 weeks) due to resistance. The current licensed targeted therapies all inhibit VEGF signalling (Table 1). Many mechanisms of resistance to anti-VEGF therapy have been identified that enable cancers to bypass the angiogenic blockade. In addition, over the last decade, there has been increasing evidence for the role that the hypoxic and metabolic responses play in tumour adaptation to anti-angiogenic therapy. The hypoxic tumour response, through the transcription factor hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs), induces major gene expression, metabolic and phenotypic changes, including increased invasion and metastasis. Pre-clinical studies combining anti-angiogenics with inhibitors of tumour hypoxic and metabolic adaptation have shown great promise, and combination clinical trials have been instigated. Understanding individual patient response and the response timing, given the opposing effects of vascular normalisation versus reduced perfusion seen with anti-angiogenics, provides a further hurdle in the paradigm of personalised therapeutic intervention. Additional approaches for targeting the hypoxic tumour microenvironment are being investigated in pre-clinical and clinical studies that have potential for producing synthetic lethality in combination with anti-angiogenic therapy as a future therapeutic strategy.
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Tumour angiogenesis

As tumours grow, they require additional nutrients and oxygen, and induce new blood vessels. The growth of new blood vessels (angiogenesis) is a process tightly regulated by a balance of pro- and anti-angiogenic signalling in normal physiology. In tumour tissues, this balance is lost resulting in a vasculature with a chaotic, leaky architecture. Angiogenesis is a multistage process regulated by numerous growth factors and their receptors (Fig 1). Key growth factors (and their cognate receptors on endothelial cells shown in brackets) in the angiogenic process include VEGF, VEGFR1, 2 and 3, ANG1 and 2 (TIE2), FGF2 (FGFR1, 2, 3, 4) and HGF (c-Met) (Welti et al, 2013). These cross talk with additional signalling pathways, in particular the Notch pathway, which is required for patterning of functional vasculature (Blanco & Gerhardt, 2013; Welti et al, 2013). Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), one of the main drivers of angiogenesis, increases vasodilation and permeability of pre-existing vasculature (Welti et al, 2013). TIE2 and angiopoietin 2 (ANG2) signalling reduce vascular pericyte coverage (Augustin et al, 2009). These induce the release of proteases including matrix metalloproteinases, resulting in degradation of the extracellular matrix (Bridges & Harris, 2011). Endothelial tip cells migrate towards the pro-angiogenic stimuli, and are followed by stalk cells, which proliferate to form the vascular lumen, in response to activity of integrins, Cdc42 and Rac (Bridges & Harris, 2011; Welti et al, 2013). Blood vessels may also be co-opted by tumours. Tumour vessel co-option
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Hypoxia

Hypoxia arises from the combination of high metabolic and proliferative rates, that is consumption and aberrant tumour vascularisation with poor oxygen delivery (Semenza, 2014). Clinically, tumour hypoxia is associated with poor patient prognosis and resistance to chemotherapy (Rebucci & Michiels, 2013) and radiotherapy (Multhoff et al., 2014) and poor outcome as an independent factor, regardless of treatment modality. Hypoxia regulates the expression of genes under the transcriptional control of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α and hypoxia-inducible factor-2α (HIF1α and HIF2α), which heterodimerise with HIF1β and bind the hypoxia response element (HRE) in the promoter of many genes (Choudhry et al., 2014). HIF1α and HIF2α mRNAs are constitutively expressed, but the proteins produced are constantly targeted for degradation in normoxic conditions by prolyl-hydroxylases, which require O2 as a cofactor (Shen & Kaelin, 2013). Upon hydroxylation, HIF1α and HIF2α interact with VHL, which mediates HIF ubiquitination and degradation. Inactivating mutations in VHL lead to HIF stabilisation in normoxia and are often found in renal cell carcinoma (RCC) (Shen & Kaelin, 2013). Growth factor signalling cascades PI3K/AKT/mTOR and MAPK also regulate the expression and phosphorylation of HIF1α and HIF2α (Agani & Jiang, 2013). Many HIF-regulated genes trigger more aggressive growth and survival, and contribute to the major hallmarks of cancer. HIF regulates genes in key processes of tumour growth in particular metabolism and angiogenesis (Favaro et al., 2011; Semenza, 2014). Metabolic genes increased by HIF1 in response to hypoxia include many of those involved in glycolysis: GLUT1, GLUT3, PDK1, PKM2, PFKFB3, GYS1, ENO1, LDHA, HK2 and GAPDH (Favaro et al., 2011). Angiogenesis genes increased by HIF in response to hypoxia include VEGF, FLT-1, ANG1, 2, TIE2, PDGF, MMP2, 9 and FLK1 (Favaro et al., 2011; Semenza, 2014) (Fig 1).

Hypoxia increases invasion and metastasis, and HIF1 regulates the expression of key genes (c-Met, CXCR4, RIOK3 and LOX), of these processes (De Bock et al., 2011; Singleton et al., 2014). Hypoxia also increases autophagy, promoting survival of hypoxic tumour cells (Brahami-Horn et al., 2011). High numbers of tumour-associated macrophages (TAMs) accumulate in hypoxic areas of tumours (Gilkes et al., 2014). TAMs are attracted to the hypoxic microenvironment by increased expression of monocytes chemotactic proteins, VEGF, semaphorin 3A and interleukin 1 (Gilkes et al., 2014).

The hypoxic tumour extracellular microenvironment is also acidic because of increased production of metabolic acids, CO2 and lactic acid (from glycolysis) (Parks et al., 2013) and longer diffusion distances to functional blood capillaries. The optimal range of intracellular pH (pHi) is narrow, such that only a fraction of a pHi-unit change can lead to aberrant function or even death. Regulation of pHi is required to maintain optimum conditions for signalling such as mTOR (Balgi et al., 2011). Many pH regulatory proteins have increased expression and/or activity in hypoxia including monocarboxylate transporters 1 and 4 (MCT1, 4) that export lactate, and carbonic anhydrase 9 (CA9) (Parks et al., 2013) that hydrates extracellular CO2 to produce a steeper efflux gradient (Swietach et al., 2014).

Tumour metabolism

The metabolic profiles of tumour cells are dramatically different from normal adult cells as their metabolic requirements shift based on phenotypic changes, including increased proliferation and survival in the acidic- and nutrient-depleted tumour microenvironment. Energy generation, anabolism and maintenance of redox potential are promoted in normoxia and hypoxia as the need for
biomass precursors (nucleotides, lipids and proteins) and ATP increase (Schulze & Harris, 2012). Tumour metabolism is regulated by a number of different factors: most notably HIF (hypoxia), AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) (nutrient sensing), growth factor signalling and oncogenes (RAS, MYC, etc.) (Schulze & Harris, 2012). AMPK is activated in response to increased AMP/ATP ratios and hypoxia. It induces a metabolic shift away from glycolysis to oxidative phosphorylation and autophagy (Liang & Mills, 2013).

Here, we focus on the metabolism of hypoxic cells (Fig 2). Hypoxia reduces oxidative phosphorylation by preventing pyruvate from entering the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, via up-regulation of pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase (PDK1) and then inhibition of pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH). Pyruvate is instead broken down to lactic acid and extruded via MCT4 (Brahimi-Horn et al., 2011). However, mitochondria remain active in the hypoxic microenvironment (Sun & Denko, 2014). Hypoxia increases glutamine uptake and metabolism. Glutamine-derived α-ketoglutarate is used to replenish intermediates of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle as an alternative to pyruvate. This includes citrate produced via reductive carboxylation of α-ketoglutarate, a process promoted by hypoxia. The reductive carboxylation-derived citrate can be used in lipid synthesis, which is required for tumour growth (Sun & Denko, 2014).

The pentose phosphate pathway (PPP) is up-regulated in cancer, and stabilisation of HIF1α increases expression of genes involved in the PPP (Riganti et al., 2012). The PPP generates nucleotides and also NADPH, an important reducing agent required for lipid, nucleotide and amino acid synthesis, and, in particular, glutathione and ROS protection (Favaro et al., 2012). Hypoxia increases expression of glycogen synthase 1 (GYS1) in a HIF-dependent manner, which increases glycogen stores. HIF-dependent increased expression of PYGL breaks down the glycogen stores, and knock-down of this enzyme was shown to reduce entry of glucose into the PPP and induce ROS-dependent senescence (Favaro et al., 2012), highlighting the importance of glycogen metabolism in protection from free radicals (Favaro et al., 2012). Similarly, hypoxia-regulated 6-phosphofructo-2-kinase/fructose-2,6-bisphosphatase 4 (PFKFB4) is essential for regulating entry to glycolysis or PPP for NADPH production (Ros & Schulze, 2013). Hypoxia-inducible PFKFB3
conversely increases glycolytic flux due to its greater kinase activity (Ros & Schulze, 2013).

Hypoxia and RAS transformation increase scavenging of serum fatty acids in particular lysosphospholipids, and hypoxia reduces de novo lipogenesis (Kamphorst et al., 2013). Furthermore, fatty acid uptake and storage is increased in hypoxia via fatty acid binding proteins 3 (FABP3) and 7 (FABP7) (Bensaad et al., 2014). An increase in fatty acid storage in hypoxia protects against ROS toxicity (Bensaad et al., 2014).

Further to those already discussed, additional metabolic proteins have increased expression or activity in hypoxia including HK1, PFK1, PFK2, ALDOA, PGK1, PGM (glycolysis), NHE1 (pH regulation), CD36 and acetyl-coenzyme A synthetase (lipid metabolism) (Bensaad & Harris, 2014).

In addition to HIF and hypoxia-regulating metabolic processes, metabolic intermediates (including succinate and fumarate) can increase HIF stabilisation via inhibition of prolyl-hydroxylases (Schulze & Harris, 2012). This highlights the bidirectional regulation of hypoxia/HIF and metabolism as is also found with angiogenesis.

A likely confounding factor of targeting metabolic processes alone in tumours is the plasticity of metabolic networks. To complicate this further, the identified metabolic interactions with stromal cells (Martinez-Outschoorn et al., 2014) make our current understanding of tumour metabolism, which is mostly derived from 2D culture experiments, less conclusive with regard to intervention. In addition, metabolic reprogramming of endothelial cells plays an important role in the process of angiogenesis (Goveia et al., 2014).

**Anti-angiogenic therapies**

Most anti-angiogenic therapies target the key pro-angiogenic factors or their endothelial cell-expressed receptors including VEGF, VEGFR1, 2 and 3, ANG 1 and 2, TIE2, FGF2, FGF3, c-Met and HGF (Bridges & Harris, 2011). The first anti-angiogenic therapy Avastin (bevacizumab) was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2003. This inhibits the main driver of angiogenesis, VEGFA. A list of FDA-approved anti-angiogenic therapies is shown in Table 1. The receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK)-based inhibitor approaches to anti-angiogenic therapy are likely also affecting tumour RTK signalling cascades, which regulate tumour proliferation, survival, metabolism and HIF (Shimobayashi & Hall, 2014).

In addition to the anti-angiogenic therapies described above, there is an additional class of inhibitors, the vascular disrupting agents (VDA) such as Zybrestat (combretastatin A-4 phosphate; CA4P) (Nathan et al., 2012). These work by selectively targeting immature, rapidly proliferating endothelial cells, inducing apoptosis or disrupting endothelial cytoskeleton resulting in vascular collapse (Nathan et al., 2012). CA4P has also been combined with bevacizumab in pre-clinical and clinical studies but had substantial toxicity (Nathan et al., 2012).

Three main vascular responses to anti-angiogenic therapy have been described clinically utilising MRI. These are reduced perfusion, no perfusion response and increased perfusion also referred to as vascular normalisation (Mehta et al., 2011; Van der Veldt et al., 2012; Batchelor et al., 2013). Many studies have identified reduced tumour perfusion as an early and most frequent response to anti-angiogenic therapy, these include studies where no samples with vascular normalisation were identified (Willett et al., 2004; Mehta et al., 2011; Van der Veldt et al., 2012). Consistent with the identified reduced perfusion, studies have shown robust and significant increases in hypoxia in approximately half the patients or more (Hattingen et al., 2011; Mehta et al., 2011; Yopp et al., 2011; Delay et al., 2012). These include increased expression of a hypoxic gene signature in 10 of 21 patients (Mehta et al., 2011), increased immunohistochemical staining for CA9 and HIF averaging a threefold increase in 9 of 21 tumours (Delay et al., 2012) and increased, relative tumour hypoxia as analysed by high-resolution T2 and T2’ mapping (Hattingen et al., 2011). Bevacizumab-induced reductions in tumour perfusion were significantly associated with increased HIF1α or CA9 expression in primary liver cancer (Yopp et al., 2011).

A few studies have identified vascular normalisation mainly at the start of therapy, in half the assessed tumours or less, but not in other tumours, which showed reduced perfusion or no perfusion response (Sorensen et al., 2012; Batchelor et al., 2013). Increased survival effects were identified in patients who responded to anti-angiogenic therapy with increased tumour perfusion, due to increased chemotherapeutic delivery (Batchelor et al., 2013).

Additional clinical studies directly examining the effects of anti-angiogenics on perfusion, hypoxia and metabolic profiles should be pursued to enable more meaningful conclusions regarding the frequency of the different responses across tumour types and anti-angiogenic therapies. However, given the opposing responses to anti-angiogenic therapy, it is clear that clinically useable biomarkers of individual longitudinal response to anti-angiogenics need to be developed and included as part of clinical practice with anti-angiogenics. This is required to personalise combination approaches, for example, to include radiotherapy and chemotherapy for the window of vascular normalisation if present and targeting of hypoxic/metabolic adaptation once reduced perfusion is identified.

Anti-angiogenic therapies increase progression-free survival in many tumour types and overall survival in a few tumours, but this is variable. For example, a phase III clinical trial in metastatic colorectal cancer identified an increase in progression-free survival from 6.2 to 10.6 months when patients were treated with bevacizumab in combination with irinotecan, fluorouracil and leucovorin. An
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Table 1. A list of all FDA-approved antiangiogenic therapies (2014).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Indication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antibody-based therapies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bevacizumab (Avastin)</td>
<td>Anti-VEGF antibody</td>
<td>Glioblastoma, metastatic colorectal cancer, metastatic RCC, some non-small cell lung cancers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aflibercept (Eylea)</td>
<td>VEGF-trap recombinant fusion protein of VEGF-binding domains from VEGFR</td>
<td>Metastatic colorectal cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramucirumab (Cyrazma)</td>
<td>Human monoclonal VEGFR2 antibody inhibits VEGF binding</td>
<td>Advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction adenocarcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small molecular inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axitinib (Inlyta)</td>
<td>VEGFR1, PDGFR, and c-KIT</td>
<td>Advanced RCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabozantinib (Cometriq)</td>
<td>VEGFR1, MET</td>
<td>Metastatic medullary thyroid cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everolimus (Afinitor)</td>
<td>mTOR</td>
<td>RCC, neuroendocrine tumours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pazopanib (Votrient)</td>
<td>VEGFR1, PDGFR, c-KIT</td>
<td>RCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regorafenib (Stivarga)</td>
<td>VEGFR1, PDGFR, Tie2</td>
<td>Metastatic colorectal cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorafenib (Nexavar)</td>
<td>VEGFR1, PDGFR, RAF</td>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma, RCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunitinib (Sutent)</td>
<td>VEGFR1, PDGFR, c-KIT, FLT3, RET, CSF-1R</td>
<td>RCC, neuroendocrine tumours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vandetanib (Caprelsa)</td>
<td>VEGFR1, EGFR, RET</td>
<td>Medullary thyroid cancer in patients with unrespectable locally advanced or metastatic disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RCC, renal cell carcinoma.

increase in overall survival was also identified (10.6 versus 15.6 months) (Hurwitz et al, 2004; Mittal et al, 2014). Similar results are seen in other cancer types with increases in progression-free survival in most studies and increases in overall survival in some studies with bevacizumab and additional agents (Mittal et al, 2014).

What is intriguing is that there was no effect in most adjuvant studies, suggesting the paradigm of dormancy until VEGF is activated and there is an angiogenic switch, needs revising (Maru et al, 2013). A few studies have shown improved disease-free survival whilst on treatment, an effect that is lost or reversed once anti-angiogenic treatment is stopped (Mountzios et al, 2014). However, two studies with bevacizumab in ovarian cancer showed increases of disease-free survival which were much shorter than the duration of treatment (Mountzios et al, 2014) suggesting an optimal duration rather than unceasing treatment may be the best fit, this is complicated by individual vascular and tumour responses. However, most studies show that continuing with anti-angiogenic therapy is of benefit to progression-free survival. This again highlights the requirement for incorporation of a robust biomarker of response for anti-angiogenic therapy.

Although increased metastasis with anti-angiogenic therapy was found in pre-clinical models, extensive reviews of patterns of relapse on and off bevacizumab do not support this pre-clinical observation (Welti et al, 2013). One possible explanation for this is the effect of additional chemotherapeutic drugs combined with anti-angiogenics in the clinic such as doxorubicin, topotecan and gemicitabine, which counteract the sunitinib-induced metastatic dissemination of the Lewis lung carcinoma xenograft models (Rovida et al, 2013).

To explain the lack of overall patient survival, many mechanisms of resistance to anti-angiogenic therapy have been identified which can explain vascularisation despite treatment (Bridges & Harris, 2011). One can propose two main types of resistance to anti-angiogenic therapy, which are intrinsic resistance and adaptive resistance (Bergers & Hanahan, 2008).

Intrinsic resistance is due to underlying molecular differences in the tumour and its vasculature. Potential causes of intrinsic resistance include the following: differential expression of the VEGF pro-angiogenic isoforms, VEGF and VEGFR polymorphisms, limited penetration of antibodies into the tumour mass and pre-existing tumour microenvironment which results in a lack of effect such as dependence on vessel co-option, vessels that are well differentiated, or stimulated by pathways other than VEGF will also be resistant (Bergers & Hanahan, 2008; Donnem et al, 2013; Welti et al, 2013; Stapor et al, 2014). Notch signalling can down-regulate VEGF signalling and induce resistance (Li et al, 2011).

Adaptive resistance is due to changes that occur as a result of the effects of the therapy on the tumour and its vasculature. Causes of adaptive resistance may include the following: tumour expression and metabolic reprogramming by HIF after induction of hypoxia, hypoxia-induced increased invasion or vessel co-option, up-regulation of alternative pro-angiogenic factors by the tumour or by the stromal cells such as TAM recruited by hypoxia, and increased recruitment of protective pericyte coverage may also occur (Bergers & Hanahan, 2008; Welti et al, 2013; Gilkes et al, 2014).

An additional factor confounding anti-angiogenic therapy is the identification of six distinct types of vessels that coexist within tumours. These are mother vessels, capillaries, glomeruloid microvascular proliferations and vascular malformations which develop by arterio-venogenesis (Nagy et al, 2008). Some of these do not require VEGF-A for maintenance, making VEGF-targeting strategies redundant (Sitfoy et al, 2012). Further to the resistance mechanisms that explain the lack of increase in overall patient survival, a number of clinical factors could reduce the quantifiable effects of anti-angiogenics, including the lack of patient enrichment with predictive biomarkers, patient
crossover in clinical trials and subsequent therapies post progression.

**Tumour response to anti-angiogenic therapy**

Tumour microenvironmental and metabolic response to anti-angiogenic therapy can play an important role in resistance. Successful therapy against VEGF induces cell death and hypoxia because of reduction in vessel perfusion and will therefore activate gene transcription programmes that respond to hypoxia. These include HIF1 and HIF2, the unfolded protein response (UPR) (Rouschop et al, 2013) and ATF4 (Pike et al, 2012). Thus, in contrast to long-term development of cytotoxic drug resistance, hypoxia can induce resistance as part of the normal physiological response to reduced perfusion rapidly. This may partly explain the few weeks of overall survival or progression-free survival generally achieved.

Approaches to understanding tumour adaptation to anti-angiogenic therapy have utilised expression arrays of treated patient samples (DeLay et al, 2012), xenografts (Kumar et al, 2013; Gokmen-Polar et al, 2014; Sounni et al, 2014), post-treatment xenografts (Sounni et al, 2014) and xenograft models of resistance (Jahangiri et al, 2013). Additional investigations have utilised magnetic resonance spectrography (MRS) to examine the tumour metabolic responses to anti-angiogenic therapy in xenografts (Keunen et al, 2011) and clinical studies (Hattingen et al, 2011).

An increase in hypoxia and the hypoxia-regulated genes CA9 and c-Met was identified in bevacizumab-resistant glioblastomas after treatment (Jahangiri et al, 2013). Expression analysis of a bevacizumab-resistant glioblastoma cell line revealed that changes in genes regulating energy metabolism were predominant and that HIF was the key driver of identified changes. Genes regulating glycolysis and the PPP were increased, whereas genes regulating oxidative phosphorylation were decreased (Kumar et al, 2013). Transcription factors that regulate UPR in response to endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress were increased in response to bevacizumab treatment (Kumar et al, 2013). Bevacizumab treatment of a glioblastoma cell line increased expression of HIF-regulated FABP3 and 7, which were responsible for lipid accumulation in hypoxia (Bensaad et al, 2014). A recent study investigated metabolic, proteomic and transcriptomic changes in cancer xenografts after withdrawal of anti-angiogenic therapy (sunitinib and sorafenib). Post-treatment xenograft metabolism shifted away from glycolysis and towards TCA and lipid metabolism following an initial decrease in genes regulating fatty acid metabolism during anti-angiogenic therapy (Kumar et al, 2013; Sounni et al, 2014).

MRS analysis of bevacizumab-treated tumours showed increased accumulation of metabolites previously associated with brain tumour hypoxia. These included increased lactate, alanine, choline and mobile lipids (Keunen et al, 2011). Bevacizumab increased hypoxia and impaired oxidative metabolism in a \(^{31}\text{P}/\text{H} \) MRSI and MRI study of 16 glioblastoma patients (Hattingen et al, 2011).

**Induced essentiality**

The above term reflects a variation on the term synthetic lethality (Ashworth et al, 2011). The implication is that a treatment-induced pathway then becomes essential for tumour survival. Considering that most tumours already have areas of hypoxia, anti-VEGF therapy will induce even greater dependence on hypoxia adaptations. Thus, the response to bevacizumab is dependent upon tumour susceptibility to hypoxia-induced apoptosis (Selvakumaran et al, 2008). As pre-clinical and clinical data have shown an increase in tumour hypoxia, and a metabolic adaptation driven by HIF in response to anti-angiogenic therapy, it is likely that targeting vascularisation and hypoxic adaptation in combination will yield improved therapeutic results and may be able to substantially prolong the duration of effect. However, additional unbiased functional screening of survival mechanisms in hypoxia may also provide interesting, previously unrecognised, hits. Approaches targeting HIF-driven changes in combination with anti-angiogenic therapy have shown promising results in pre-clinical models.

Dichloroacetate (DCA), a PDK inhibitor, increases oxidative phosphorylation. DCA enhanced bevacizumab treatment of glioblastoma cell lines (Kumar et al, 2013) and overcame sorafenib resistance in hepatocellular carcinoma xenografts (Shen et al, 2013). DCA treatment reduced lactate, increased ROS and ATP levels and significantly potentiated apoptosis in combination with sorafenib (Shen et al, 2013). Knock-down or inhibition of CA9, a key pH regulatory enzyme, enhanced bevacizumab therapy of colon and glioblastoma xenografts (McIntyre et al, 2012).

Knock-down of HIF1α reduced growth in combination with anti-angiogenic therapy in neuroblastoma xenografts (Hartwich et al, 2013). Similarly, combining HIF1 inhibition, by the topoisomerase inhibitor topotecan, with bevacizumab increased their anti-tumour activity synergistically in neuroblastoma xenografts (Hartwich et al, 2013).

Knock-down or inhibition of c-Met in bevacizumab-resistant glioblastoma xenografts increased sensitivity to bevacizumab and reduced invasion and survival in hypoxia (Jahangiri et al, 2013). Inhibition of autophagy enhanced the anti-cancer effect of bevacizumab in hepatocellular carcinoma (Guo et al, 2013).

Adaptation to anti-angiogenic therapy (sunitinib) withdrawal increases metastatic dissemination and xenograft regrowth (Sounni et al, 2014). This could be reduced with fatty acid synthase (FASN) inhibition (orlistat) or knock-down after sunitinib withdrawal (Sounni et al, 2014).

Other targets in tumour metabolism and hypoxia have been investigated, but not yet in combination with anti-angiogenic therapy. These offer additional options for future combination investigations. One study utilised macrophages, which migrate to the hypoxic area of tumours, to target a hypoxia-induced therapeutic adenovirus to prostate cancers (Muthana et al, 2011). The use of HRE promoter sequences to target induction of gene therapy approaches in the hypoxic microenvironment is a possibility, but has major issues for delivery.

Many strategies to target HIF directly have been developed. These include the use of SN-38, a camptothecin analogue that reduces transcriptional induction of HIF1α (Jeong et al, 2014b), and PX-478, which reduced HIF1α protein levels and trans-activating capacity (Lee & Kim, 2011). A recent study identified a cyclic peptide inhibitor that specifically inhibits HIF1α binding to HIF1β, preventing HIF1α trans-activating capacity (Miranda et al, 2013).

**In vitro** investigations of additional metabolic enzyme inhibitors have shown an effect on reducing cell viability. An inhibitor of a
HIF target gene, glucose transporter GLUT1 (STF-31), was identified in a screen to target VHL-deficient RCC (Chan et al., 2011). MCT1 inhibition by AZD3965 reduced xenograft growth rate and increased sensitivity to radiotherapy (Bola et al., 2014).

It is likely that metabolic inhibitors will only work on the subset of cancers for which these pathways are critical, but inducing hypoxia may make them more essential.

Clinical trials

There are few clinical trials combining inhibitors of hypoxia or metabolism with anti-angiogenics. We identified 11 on the clinical trials database ClinicalTrials.gov (Table 2). NCT00520533 combined cG250, a non-inhibiting CAIX antibody, and sunitinib in advanced RCC; however, this study was terminated due to toxicity. NCT01578551 combines metformin with bevacizumab in advanced/metastatic pulmonary adenocarcinoma. An additional clinical trial is investigating the combination of metformin and everolimus in recurrent or progressive endometrial cancer (NCT01797523). Bevacizumab has also been combined with the c-Met inhibitor tivantinib (NCT10749384).

There are four phase I/II clinical trials combining the hypoxia-activated prodrug TH-302, an alkylating agent, (Chawla et al., 2014) with an anti-angiogenic therapy in a variety of tumours (NCT01497444, NCT01381822, NCT01403610, NCT01485042). There is an additional study combining bevacizumab with cisplatin and the HIF inhibitor topotecan (NCT00548418). The proteasome inhibitor bortezomib, which represses HIF protein expression (Befani et al., 2012), is being trialed in combination with everolimus in relapsed or refractory-free lymphoma (NCT00671112). Bevacizumab is also being combined with the mTOR inhibitor MLN128 (NCT02142803). Targeting mTOR has dual effects, as it regulates tumour metabolism and the impact of this on angiogenesis (Goveia et al., 2014a). MCT1 inhibitor AZD3965 in patients with advanced cancer (NCT01791595). There is also a clinical trial of a CA9 inhibitor DTP-348 (NCT02216669). It is also important to consider the effect of any metabolic targeting therapy on endothelial cell metabolism and the impact of this on angiogenesis (Goveia et al., 2014). Clearly, once a dose is safely selected, combination studies with anti-angiogenic drugs should be a high priority.

Patient selection

Given the interrelatedness of vascular, hypoxic and metabolic tumour responses to anti-angiogenic therapy, it may be important to measure all three. It would be best to target normoxic tumour metabolism if the vasculature is normalised for the time frame of

\[ \text{Table 2. A list of clinical trials which combine targeting of HIF, HIF target genes, metabolism or hypoxia with anti-angiogenic therapy.} \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical trials identifier</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Tumour type and setting</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCT00520533</td>
<td></td>
<td>cG250 and sunitinib</td>
<td>Advanced RCC</td>
<td>Study terminated due to toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01578551</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Metformin plus paclitaxel/carboplatin/bevacizumab</td>
<td>Previously untreated advanced/metastatic pulmonary adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01797523</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Metformin plus everolimus and letrozole</td>
<td>Recurrent or progressive endometrial cancer</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01749384</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Bevacizumab and tivantinib (c-MET inhibitor)</td>
<td>Solid tumours that are metastatic or cannot be removed by surgery</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01497444</td>
<td>I/II</td>
<td>Sorafenib and TH-302</td>
<td>Advanced kidney cancer or liver cancer</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01381822</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>TH-302 in combination with sunitinib</td>
<td>Advanced RCC, GISTs and pancreatic neuroendocrine tumours</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01403610</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Bevacizumab followed by TH-302</td>
<td>Recurrent high grade astrocytoma</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01485042</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Pazopanib plus TH-302</td>
<td>Advanced solid tumours</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00548418</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Bevacizumab and topotecan with cisplatin</td>
<td>Recurrent/persistent cervical cancer</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT00671112</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Everolimus and bortezomib</td>
<td>Relapsed or refractory lymphoma</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02142803</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Bevacizumab and MLN0128 (mTOR inhibitor)</td>
<td>Recurrent glioblastoma or advanced solid tumours</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RCC, renal cell carcinoma; GISTs, gastrointestinal stromal tumours.
this response followed by targeting hypoxic tumour response when tumour perfusion is reduced. Imaging tumour perfusion and hypoxia over the treatment course would be required to find the best-fit treatment. Diffusion contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (DCE-MRI), with gadolinium contrast agent, enables non-invasive measurements of tumour perfusion and has been utilised to assess patient response to pre-operative chemotherapy with bevazicumab (De Bruyne et al., 2012).

Enhanced glucose uptake can be monitored by imaging fluorodeoxyglucose-PET (FDG-PET). FDG-PET is used to measure drug response (Vera et al., 2014). MRS analysis of cediranib treatment of glioblastoma patient tumours showed that a high n-acetylaspartate (NAA)/choline ratio was associated with increased overall survival (Kim et al., 2011). Additional metabolic tracers, for use in PET imaging, important in hypoxic and/or anti-angiogenic therapy response such as glutamine, would also be interesting to investigate and are in development (Schulze & Harris, 2012).

Clinical trials investigating the use of markers of hypoxia in response to anti-angiogenic therapy include using 18F-FMISO-PET to provide a hypoxic index in cerebral tumours (NCT01200134). FMISO-PET and vascular MRI scans are being utilised to explore blood flow, volume and hypoxia in bevazucimab-treated glioblastoma patients (NCT02076152). It is also important to investigate HIF response, which will have an impact on changes in gene expression patterns and therefore molecular physiology. Investigation of CA9 expression by in vivo imaging with radiolabeled cG250 (Stillebroer et al., 2013), immunohistochemistry in biopsies or measurements of hypoxia-induced proteins in the blood (Takacova et al., 2013) may provide HIF response information. Imaging pH in patient samples may act as a marker for susceptibility to targeting pH regulation or for samples with high metabolic rates or high levels of hypoxia. A number of strategies for imaging pH in patients have been and are being developed (Wu et al., 2013).

Analysis of metabolites in the blood or urine of patients may also enable patient selection for targeted therapies (Lodi et al., 2013). Expression analysis of hypoxic gene signatures in patient baseline biopsies and biopsies during therapy would identify tumour response (Fox et al., 2014).

As changes in DCE-MRI occur within 1 week of anti-VEGF therapy (Feil et al., 2015) and the xenografts show rapid changes, it should be a priority to examine short-term induced adaptations to help determine the most appropriate therapy for the adapted tumour, by imaging or biopsies, or peripheral blood markers.

Future directions

It is clear that anti-angiogenic therapy, alone or with therapies targeting fast growing tumour cells, is not going to be curative in the majority of tumour patients. As with nearly all areas of cancer biology, the complexity, heterogeneity and plasticity of tumour cells and their environment were underestimated.

Given the prognostic implications of inducing the hypoxic tumour response, the utilisation of expensive and toxic drugs without selecting the correct patients can be prevented with appropriate designs for the use of precision medicine.

Targeting tumour metabolic reprogramming is a fast developing area of translational biology, and its relationship with angiogenesis and hypoxia is intertwined. It is important that the relationship between other targeting strategies and microenvironmental heterogeneity is investigated. The metabolic effects and oxygen, pH and nutritional gradients are recapitulated far better in more complex, 3-dimensional co-culture models (Thoma et al., 2014), which with analytical methods that enable more tumour-like in vitro analysis need to be more widely used. The use of pre-selection ’window’ (between first diagnosis and tumour resection) trials for combination therapies could reduce long-term incorrect treatment strategies for patients if early response stratifying biomarkers can be developed. Furthermore, heterogeneous tumour metabolic and hypoxic responses will greatly impact the success of combination approaches and understanding fully which variations are important therapeutically and how to incorporate identification of these into treatment regimes will require further investigation.

There now exists a dichotomous future to ‘anti-angiogenic’ therapy, (perhaps better referred to as vascular adjustment therapy) focused on either increasing the effects of vascular normalisation to increase perfusion or reducing vascular perfusion and treating in combinations including hypoxia/metabolic targeting agents. Vascular normalisation has two key benefits. The first is increased delivery of chemotherapy, which has been identified to improve survival, and oxygen, which will increase the efficacy of radiotherapy. The second benefit is the reduction of hypoxic adaptation, which will reduce the negative aspects to the patient of selecting a more aggressive tumour. Reducing vascular perfusion has the advantage of the induced essentiality approaches discussed here.

Further problems remain. We cannot decipher in advance which type of vascular response patients will have. Understanding the mechanisms behind the different positive vascular responses and producing a clinically useable biomarker is a key area for progress in the paradigm of induced essentiality as one approach to personalised cancer medicine. Furthermore, there remains a subset of patients for which there will be no vascular response. Controlled clinical analysis of the effects of differing anti-angiogenics particularly given the more general tumour effects of the RTKi approaches and the impact these may have on heterogeneous subsets of patients is required.

To take advantage of the induced essentiality opportunities that arise from reduced perfusion, the field should focus on approaches that are likely to produce a kill effect rather than inhibit proliferation, as may be the case with many metabolic targeting strategies

Utilising a hypoxia-activated prodrug such as TH-302 is an exciting approach, and the four on-going clinical trials combining this with anti-angiogenic therapies may provide strong support for the rationale. Regarding metabolic inhibitors, an example of the desired effect of apoptotic induction was identified in xenograft studies with DCA in combination with sorafenib (Shen et al., 2013). This may be due to the effects of DCA on multiple metabolic pathways. With this in mind, an area for possible exploitation in this combination approach is that of epigenetic modulation of gene expression patterns, enabling changes in a large number of ‘essential’ genes with a single inhibitor. Identification of epigenetic modulators of global hypoxic/metabolic gene expression patterns would facilitate more global hypoxia/metabolic targeting in combination with anti-angiogenics.
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